

ON VOLUMES OF HYPERBOLIC ORBIFOLDS

ILESANMI ADEBOYE AND GUOFANG WEI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give a lower bound for the volume of a hyperbolic n -orbifold. Our main tool is H. C. Wang's bound on the radius of a ball embedded in the fundamental domain of a lattice of a semisimple Lie group.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{H}^n$ denote hyperbolic n -space. A hyperbolic n -orbifold Q is a quotient $\mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma$, where Γ represents a discrete group of orientation-preserving isometries. The purpose of this paper is to give a lower bound for the volume of a hyperbolic n -orbifold dependent on dimension only. That such a lower bound exists was proved for dimension two by Siegel [23] in a theorem closely related to a result on birational transformations of an algebraic curve due to Hurwitz [15]. The analogous result for dimension three was proved by Meyerhoff [20], motivated by work of Jørgensen [16] and Thurston [24]. The hyperbolic 2-orbifold of minimum volume was given in Siegel's paper. The smallest volume hyperbolic 3-orbifold was identified by Gehring and Martin in [11].

A hyperbolic n -orbifold is: a *manifold* when Γ does not contain elliptic elements; *cusped* when Γ does contain parabolic elements; *arithmetic* when Γ can be derived by a specific number-theoretic construction (see e.g. [2]). The existence of a lower bound for dimensions four and above was proved by Wang [26]. Much of what is known explicitly for these dimensions relate to the categories mentioned above and their various intersections. A lower bound for the volume of hyperbolic n -manifolds was constructed in [18]. The smallest cusped hyperbolic n -orbifolds of dimension less than ten can be found in [13]. The minimal volume arithmetic hyperbolic n -orbifolds are described in [2], [4] and [6].

In this article, hyperbolic n -space is viewed as a symmetric space, $\mathbb{H}^n = SO_o(n, 1)/SO(n)$. We then define a Riemannian submersion $\pi : SO_o(n, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$, where the fiber over any point in $\mathbb{H}^n$ is an embedded copy of $SO(n)$. The volume of a hyperbolic n -orbifold $Q = \mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma$ is defined to be the volume of the fundamental domain of Γ in $\mathbb{H}^n$. Hence, $\text{Vol}[Q] = \text{Vol}[SO_o(n, 1)/\Gamma]/\text{Vol}[SO(n)]$. The study of the volume of a hyperbolic orbifold is therefore reduced to the study of the volume of the quotient of a lattice in a Lie group.

Let G be a semisimple Lie group without compact factor. In [26], Wang showed that the volume of the fundamental domain of any discrete subgroup of G can be bounded below by the volume of ball with a radius that depends only on the Lie group itself. An estimate on the curvature of G , together with a comparison theorem due to Gunther (see e.g. [10]),

The second author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0806016.

produces a lower bound for the volume of the embedded ball. The following theorem gives our volume bound for hyperbolic orbifolds.

Theorem 0.1. *Let Q be a hyperbolic n -orbifold. Denote by $V(d, k, r)$ the volume of a ball of radius r in the complete simply connected Riemannian manifold of dimension d with constant curvature k . Then*

$$\text{Vol}[Q] \geq \frac{V(d_0, k_0, r_0)}{\text{Vol}[SO(n)]},$$

where $d_0 = \frac{n^2 + n}{2}$, $k_0 = \frac{n^2 + 43n}{8}$ and $r_0 = .114\sqrt{2(n-1)}$.

In the first and second sections of this paper, we revisit fundamental facts of semisimple Lie groups leading to an upper bound on the sectional curvature of $SO_o(n, 1)$. The third section of this paper outlines Wang's crucial result. The fifth section of the article lists several results on hyperbolic volume.

In Section 4, the bound of Theorem 0.1 is given as an explicit function of n . From this formula, we get a lower bound of 2.804×10^{-6} for hyperbolic 3-orbifolds, 2.568×10^{-10} for 4-orbifolds and 3.144×10^{-16} for 5-orbifolds. The bounds we achieve are not sharp. They are smaller than the bounds for arithmetic n -orbifold ([2], [4], [6]), which are known to be extremal in dimensions two and three and conjectured to be so for dimensions four and above. However, our work improves upon the results of [1] and [18], which are the only general orbifold and manifold bounds known to the authors.

Remark 0.2. *The constant k_0 in Theorem 0.1 represents an upper bound on the sectional curvatures of the Lie group $O(n, 1)$ proved in Proposition 2.7. From Proposition 2.6 and [19], we expect that this value can be lowered significantly.*

Intimately linked with hyperbolic volume is the size of symmetry groups of hyperbolic manifolds. Specifically, any bound in one category immediately produces a bound in the other. The quotient of a hyperbolic manifold M by its group of orientation-preserving isometries is an orientable hyperbolic orbifold (as long as $\pi_1(M)$ is not virtually abelian, in which case $\text{Vol}[M]$ is infinite). The following corollary is a direct analogue of Hurwitz's formula for groups acting on surfaces.

Corollary 0.3. *Let M be an orientable hyperbolic n -manifold. Let H be a group of orientation-preserving isometries of M . Then*

$$|H| \leq \frac{\text{Vol}[M] \text{Vol}[SO(n)]}{V(d_0, k_0, r_0)}.$$

The Mostow-Prasad rigidity theorem [21],[22] implies that the group of isometries of a finite volume hyperbolic n -manifold can be identified with $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$. Hence, we have the following 'topological' version of Corollary 0.3.

Corollary 0.4. *Let M be a finite volume orientable hyperbolic n -manifold. Let H be a subgroup of $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$. Then*

$$|H| \leq \frac{2 \text{Vol}[M] \text{Vol}[SO(n)]}{V(d_0, k_0, r_0)}.$$

1. THE CURVATURE OF SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS

In this section we review some general properties of semisimple Lie groups and derive the curvature formulas for the canonical metric. These formulas are of independent interest as we could not find them in the literature.

Let G be a Lie group and denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G . For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the *adjoint action* of X is the endomorphism

$$\text{ad } X : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

defined by the Lie bracket

$$\text{ad } X(Y) \longrightarrow [X, Y].$$

The *Killing form* on $\mathfrak{g}$ is a symmetric bilinear form given by

$$B(X, Y) = \text{trace}(\text{ad } X \circ \text{ad } Y).$$

For all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\text{ad } X$ is skew symmetric with respect to B ; i.e.

$$B([X, Y], Z) = -B(Y, [X, Z]). \quad (1.1)$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *semisimple* if B is non-degenerate. In this case (see e.g. [5, Page 75]) $\mathfrak{g}$ may be decomposed as $\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ such that $B|_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is negative definite and $B|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is positive definite, with bracket laws

$$[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{k}, \quad [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}, \quad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{k}. \quad (1.2)$$

If $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{p}$, by the bracket laws $(\text{ad } X \circ \text{ad } Y)(\mathfrak{k}) \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and $(\text{ad } X \circ \text{ad } Y)(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \mathfrak{k}$. Hence $\text{trace}(\text{ad } X \circ \text{ad } Y) \equiv 0$, and therefore $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ are orthogonal under B . A Lie group G is *semisimple* if its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple.

A *Cartan involution* θ of a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a function defined on $\mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$\theta = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{on } \mathfrak{k} \\ -1 & \text{on } \mathfrak{p} \end{cases}$$

The positive definite bilinear form defined by

$$B_\theta(X, Y) = -B(X, \theta Y) \text{ for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$$

induces a left invariant Riemannian metric on G . This metric will be referred to as *the canonical metric* for G and denoted by g_0 .

Given a *connection* ∇ on the tangent bundle of a manifold, the *curvature tensor* is defined by

$$R(U, V)X = \nabla_U \nabla_V X - \nabla_V \nabla_U X - \nabla_{[U, V]} X.$$

When G is compact semisimple, B is negative definite (no $\mathfrak{p}$ component) and $B_\theta = -B$ induces a biinvariant metric on G . With this canonical metric, the connection and curvature are simply given by (see e.g. [5, Cor. 3.19])

$$\nabla_X Y = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y], \quad (1.3)$$

$$\langle R(X, Y)Y, X \rangle = \frac{1}{4}\|[X, Y]\|^2. \quad (1.4)$$

When G is noncompact semisimple, B_θ is biinvariant only when restricted to K , the maximal compact subgroup of G . Note that the Lie algebra of K is $\mathfrak{k}$. We now derive simple connection and curvature formulas with respect to the canonical metric for a noncompact semisimple Lie group.

First for any left invariant metric and left invariant vector fields X, Y, Z, W , the Koszul formula gives

$$\langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \{ \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle - \langle Y, [X, Z] \rangle - \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle \}. \quad (1.5)$$

By left invariance

$$X \langle \nabla_Y Z, W \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\langle \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z, W \rangle = -\langle \nabla_Y Z, \nabla_X W \rangle, \quad -\langle \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z, W \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Z, \nabla_Y W \rangle,$$

and

$$\langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Z, \nabla_Y W \rangle - \langle \nabla_Y Z, \nabla_X W \rangle - \langle \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, W \rangle. \quad (1.6)$$

We will treat vector fields from $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ separately. From here on, $U, V, W, W' \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $X, Y, Z, Z' \in \mathfrak{p}$ denote left invariant vector fields. We have

Lemma 1.1. *With respect to the canonical metric the subgroup K is totally geodesic in G .*

Proof. Since B_θ restricted to K is biinvariant

$$\langle \nabla_U U, V \rangle = 0.$$

By (1.5) and (1.2)

$$\langle \nabla_U U, X \rangle = -\langle U, [U, X] \rangle = 0.$$

□

Now we compute the connections.

Lemma 1.2.

$$\nabla_U V = \frac{1}{2}[U, V], \quad (1.7)$$

$$\nabla_X Y = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y], \quad (1.8)$$

$$\nabla_U X = \frac{3}{2}[U, X], \quad \nabla_X U = -\frac{1}{2}[X, U]. \quad (1.9)$$

Proof. The first equation follows from Lemma 1.1. We will derive the last two equations, the proof of the second equation is similar.

By (1.5) and (1.2)

$$\langle \nabla_U X, V \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle [U, X], V \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle X, [U, V] \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle U, [X, V] \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\langle \frac{3}{2}[U, X], V \rangle = 0.$$

Thus

$$\langle \nabla_U X, V \rangle = \langle \frac{3}{2}[U, X], V \rangle \quad \forall V \in \mathfrak{k}. \quad (1.10)$$

Similarly, by (1.5), (1.1) and the relationship between B and B_θ ,

$$\langle \nabla_U X, Y \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle [U, X], Y \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle X, [U, Y] \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle U, [X, Y] \rangle$$

and

$$\langle X, [U, Y] \rangle = -\langle [U, X], Y \rangle$$

and

$$\langle U, [X, Y] \rangle = -B(U, [X, Y]) = B([X, U], Y) = \langle [X, U], Y \rangle.$$

Hence

$$\langle \nabla_U X, Y \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle [U, X], Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle [U, X], Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle [U, X], Y \rangle = \langle \frac{3}{2}[U, X], Y \rangle \quad \forall Y \in \mathfrak{p}. \quad (1.11)$$

From (1.10) and (1.11) we have

$$\nabla_U X = \frac{3}{2}[U, X].$$

Finally,

$$\nabla_X U = \nabla_U X + [X, U] = -\frac{1}{2}[X, U].$$

□

For the curvatures we have

Proposition 1.3.

$$\langle R(U, V)W, W' \rangle = \frac{1}{4} (\langle [U, W'], [V, W] \rangle - \langle [U, W], [V, W'] \rangle), \quad (1.12)$$

$$\langle R(X, Y)Z, Z' \rangle = \frac{7}{4} (\langle [X, Z], [Y, Z'] \rangle - \langle [Y, Z], [X, Z'] \rangle), \quad (1.13)$$

$$\langle R(U, X)V, Y \rangle = \frac{1}{4} (3\langle [U, V], [X, Y] \rangle + \langle [X, V], [U, Y] \rangle), \quad (1.14)$$

$$\langle R(U, V)X, Y \rangle = \frac{3}{4} (\langle [U, X], [V, Y] \rangle - \langle [V, X], [U, Y] \rangle), \quad (1.15)$$

$$\langle R(U, V)W, X \rangle = 0, \quad (1.16)$$

$$\langle R(X, Y)Z, U \rangle = 0. \quad (1.17)$$

In particular,

$$\langle R(U, V)V, U \rangle = \frac{1}{4}\|[U, V]\|^2, \quad (1.18)$$

$$\langle R(X, Y)Y, X \rangle = -\frac{7}{4}\|[X, Y]\|^2, \quad (1.19)$$

$$\langle R(U, X)X, U \rangle = \frac{1}{4}\|[U, X]\|^2. \quad (1.20)$$

Proof. We prove (1.13). The proofs of the remaining equations are similar.

By (1.6) and Lemma 1.2

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R(X, Y)Z, Z' \rangle &= \langle \nabla_X Z, \nabla_Y Z' \rangle - \langle \nabla_Y Z, \nabla_X Z' \rangle - \langle \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, Z' \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \langle [X, Z], [Y, Z'] \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \langle [Y, Z], [X, Z'] \rangle - \frac{3}{2} \langle [[X, Y], Z], Z' \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Using the Jacobi identity

$$[X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]] = 0 \text{ for all } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$$

and (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle [[X, Y], Z], Z' \rangle &= \langle [X, [Y, Z]], Z' \rangle + \langle [Y, [Z, X]], Z' \rangle \\ &= -B([Y, Z], [X, Z']) - B([Z, X], [Y, Z']) \\ &= \langle [Y, Z], [X, Z'] \rangle + \langle [Z, X], [Y, Z'] \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

And (1.13) follows. $\square$

2. THE SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUP $O(n, 1)$

Denote by $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ the *real general linear group* consisting of all nonsingular n -by- n real matrices with matrix multiplication as group operation. Let $J \in GL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ be given by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The *Lorentz group* of $n+1$ -by- $n+1$ matrices $O(n, 1)$ is defined by

$$O(n, 1) = \{A \in GL(n+1, \mathbb{R}) : JA^T J = A^{-1}\}.$$

The Lorentz group is a *matrix Lie group*, it is a differentiable manifold where matrix multiplication is compatible with the smooth structure. In this section we study its Lie algebra structure and then we compute its canonical metric and curvature. We note here that the results of this section apply immediately to $SO_o(n, 1)$, the connected component of the identity of $O(n, 1)$.

For a matrix Lie group G , its *Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ is the set of all matrices X such that $e^{tX} \in G$ for all real numbers t . Let $\mathfrak{o}(n, 1)$ denote the Lie algebra of $O(n, 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}X \in \mathfrak{o}(n, 1) &\Rightarrow e^{tX} \in O(n, 1) \\ &\Rightarrow J(e^{tX})^T J = (e^{tX})^{-1} \\ &\Rightarrow J e^{tX^T} J = e^{-tX} \\ &\Rightarrow e^{tJX^T J} = e^{-tX} \\ &\Rightarrow JX^T J = -X\end{aligned}$$

Let $X = (a_{ij})$ be an $n+1$ -by- $n+1$ matrix. The equation $JX^T J = -X$ implies that X has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1,n+1} \\ -a_{12} & 0 & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2,n+1} \\ -a_{13} & -a_{23} & 0 & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ a_{1,n+1} & a_{2,n+1} & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let e_{ij} denote a square matrix (of appropriate size) with 1 in the ij -position and 0 everywhere else.

Definition 2.1. For each n , let $\alpha_{ij} = e_{ij} - e_{ji}$, represent the $n+1$ -by- $n+1$ matrix with 1 in the ij -position, -1 in the ji -position and 0 elsewhere. Similarly, let $\sigma_{ij} = e_{ij} + e_{ji}$ denote the $n+1$ -by- $n+1$ matrix with 1 in the ij -position, 1 in the ji -position and 0 elsewhere.

The **standard basis** for $\mathfrak{o}(n, 1)$, denoted by $\mathfrak{B}$, consists of the following set of $n(n+1)/2$ matrices:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \alpha_{12} & \alpha_{13} & \alpha_{14} & \cdots & \alpha_{1n} & \sigma_{1,n+1} \\ & \alpha_{23} & \alpha_{24} & \cdots & \alpha_{2n} & \sigma_{2,n+1} \\ & & \alpha_{34} & \cdots & \alpha_{3n} & \sigma_{3,n+1} \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & \alpha_{n-1,n} & \sigma_{n-1,n+1} \\ & & & & & \sigma_{n,n+1} \end{array}$$

The *Lie bracket* of a matrix Lie algebra is a binary operation

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

given by matrix multiplication

$$[X, Y] \longrightarrow XY - YX.$$

The Lie brackets of $\mathfrak{o}(n, 1)$ are given by

Proposition 2.2. For $1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k < l \leq n$,

$$[\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{kl}] = \delta_{jk}\alpha_{il} + \delta_{jl}\alpha_{ki} + \delta_{ij}\alpha_{jk} + \delta_{ki}\alpha_{lj} \quad (2.21)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \alpha_{il} & \text{if } j = k \\ \alpha_{ki} & \text{if } j = l \\ \alpha_{jk} & \text{if } i = l \\ \alpha_{lj} & \text{if } i = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

$$[\alpha_{ij}, \sigma_{k,n+1}] = \delta_{kj}\sigma_{i,n+1} - \delta_{ik}\sigma_{j,n+1}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \sigma_{i,n+1} & \text{if } k = j \\ -\sigma_{j,n+1} & \text{if } i = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.24)$$

$$[\sigma_{i,n+1}, \sigma_{j,n+1}] = \alpha_{ij}. \quad (2.25)$$

Proof. The proof of the first equation is given here. The proofs of the remaining identities are similar.

By the definition of α_{ij} and the fact that $e_{ij}e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{kl}] &= [e_{ij} - e_{ji}, e_{kl} - e_{lk}] \\ &= (e_{ij} - e_{ji})(e_{kl} - e_{lk}) - (e_{kl} - e_{lk})(e_{ij} - e_{ji}) \\ &= e_{ij}e_{kl} - e_{ij}e_{lk} - e_{ji}e_{kl} + e_{ji}e_{lk} - e_{kl}e_{ij} + e_{kl}e_{ji} + e_{lk}e_{ij} - e_{lk}e_{ji} \\ &= \delta_{jk}(e_{il} - e_{li}) + \delta_{jl}(e_{ki} - e_{ik}) + \delta_{il}(e_{jk} - e_{kj}) + \delta_{ki}(e_{lj} - e_{jl}) \\ &= \delta_{jk}\alpha_{il} + \delta_{jl}\alpha_{ki} + \delta_{ij}\alpha_{jk} + \delta_{ki}\alpha_{lj}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.3. When deriving general conclusions from Proposition 2.2 it is useful to keep in mind the anti-commutativity of the Lie bracket and the fact that $\alpha_{ji} = -\alpha_{ij}$.

Proposition 2.2 illustrates a Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{o}(n, 1) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, where

$$\mathfrak{k} = \text{span}\{\alpha_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, \quad \mathfrak{p} = \text{span}\{\sigma_{i,n+1}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

satisfying the brackets law (1.2). We note here that $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}(n)$, the Lie algebra of the Lie group $SO(n)$. In turn, $SO(n)$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $O(n, 1)$.

An explicit Cartan involution on $\mathfrak{o}(n, 1)$ can be given by

$$\theta(X) = -X^T.$$

The following lemma describes the canonical metric for $O(n, 1)$

Lemma 2.4. Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{B}$. Then

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \begin{cases} 2n - 2 & \text{if } X = Y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof follows from a close study of Proposition 2.2 and Remark 2.3.

The set $\mathfrak{B}$ is closed under the Lie bracket (modulo sign). Therefore, for any $X \in \mathfrak{B}$ the entries of $\text{ad } X$ are all 0, 1 or -1 and each column has at most one non-zero entry. Since bracket multiplication is determined by index, each row also has at most one non-zero entry. Furthermore, two standard basis elements have a non-zero Lie bracket if and only if they share exactly one index number. So if X has index ij , $\text{ad } X$ has exactly

$$(n + 1 - i) + (j - 1) + (n + 1 - j) + (i - 1) - 1 - 1 = 2n - 2$$

non zero entries.

Now assume $X = \alpha_{ij}$. For all $Y \in \mathfrak{B}$, $[X, Y] = Z \Rightarrow [X, Z] = -Y$. This implies that the hg entry of $\text{ad } X$ is the negative of the gh entry.

By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{ij} \rangle &= -B(\alpha_{ij}, \theta(\alpha_{ij})) \\ &= -B(\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{ij}) \\ &= -\text{trace}(\text{ad } \alpha_{ij} \circ \text{ad } \alpha_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

The h th diagonal entry of $\text{ad } \alpha_{ij} \circ \text{ad } \alpha_{ij}$ is the dot product of the h th row of $\text{ad } \alpha_{ij}$ with the h th column of $\text{ad } \alpha_{ij}$. If the only non-zero entry in the h th row of $\text{ad } \alpha_{ij}$ is a 1 (resp. -1) in the hg -position then the only non-zero entry in the h th column of $\text{ad } \alpha_{ij}$ is a -1 (resp. 1) in the gh -position. Hence, the h th diagonal entry of $\text{ad } \alpha_{ij} \circ \text{ad } \alpha_{ij}$ is -1 . Thus,

$$\langle \alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{ij} \rangle = - \left(\underbrace{-1 + -1 + \cdots + -1}_{2n-2 \text{ times}} \right) = 2n - 2$$

Similarly, $\langle \sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{ij} \rangle = 2n - 2$.

Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{B}$ with $X \neq \pm Y$. If $\text{ad } X$ has a nonzero entry in the hg -position then the bracket of X with the h th basis element is sent to the g th basis element. That is, there is some $V, W \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that

$$[X, V] = \pm W.$$

If, in addition, $\text{ad } Y$ has a nonzero entry in the gh -position, we may write

$$[Y, W] = \pm V.$$

Again, note that the Lie bracket of basis elements is determined by index. This forces

$$X = \pm Y$$

and we have a contradiction. Thus, all the diagonal entries of $\text{ad } X \circ \text{ad } Y$ are equal to zero. Therefore $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *The matrix representation for the canonical metric of $O(n, 1)$ with respect to the standard basis of $\mathfrak{o}(n, 1)$ is the square $n(n+1)/2$ diagonal matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2n-2 & & & \\ & 2n-2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 2n-2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, the *sectional curvature* of the planes spanned by X and Y is denoted and defined by

$$K(X, Y) = \frac{\langle R(X, Y)Y, X \rangle}{\|X\|^2 \|Y\|^2 - \langle X, Y \rangle^2}.$$

With respect to the canonical metric g_0 , the quotient $\mathbb{H}^n = SO_o(n, 1)/SO(n)$ has constant sectional curvature $\frac{-1}{2(n-1)}$. In order to have $\mathbb{H}^n$ with constant sectional curvature -1 , we consider the metric $\tilde{g}_0 = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}g_0$.

Proposition 2.6. *The sectional curvature of $O(n, 1)$ with respect to $\tilde{g}_0$ at the planes spanned by the standard basis is bounded above by $\frac{1}{4}$.*

Proof. Since α_{ij}, α_{kl} are orthogonal,

$$K(\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{kl}) = \frac{\langle R(\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{kl})\alpha_{kl}, \alpha_{ij} \rangle}{\|\alpha_{ij}\|^2 \|\alpha_{kl}\|^2}.$$

By (1.18), Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.5

$$K(\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{kl}) = \frac{\|[\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{kl}]\|^2}{4\|\alpha_{ij}\|^2 \|\alpha_{kl}\|^2} \leq \frac{1}{4}. \quad (2.26)$$

Similarly

$$K(\alpha_{ij}, \sigma_{k,n+1}) = \frac{\|[\alpha_{ij}, \sigma_{k,n+1}]\|^2}{4\|\alpha_{ij}\|^2 \|\sigma_{k,n+1}\|^2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad (2.27)$$

and

$$K(\sigma_{i,n+1}, \sigma_{j,n+1}) = -\frac{7\|[\sigma_{i,n+1}, \sigma_{j,n+1}]\|^2}{4\|\sigma_{i,n+1}\|^2 \|\sigma_{j,n+1}\|^2} \leq 0. \quad (2.28)$$

□

Proposition 2.7. *The sectional curvature of $O(n, 1)$ with respect to $\tilde{g}_0$ is bounded above by*

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{8} + 2\frac{n}{4} + 2\frac{6n}{4} + 2\frac{4n}{4} = \frac{n^2 + 43n}{8}.$$

Proof. Again with $U, V \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $X, Y \in \mathfrak{p}$, we have by (1.16) and (1.17)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(X+U, Y+V)Y+V, X+U \rangle &= \langle R(X, Y)Y, X \rangle + \langle R(U, V)V, U \rangle + \langle R(U, Y)Y, U \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle R(X, V)V, X \rangle + 2\langle R(X, Y)V, U \rangle + 2\langle R(X, V)Y, U \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\|U+X\|=1$, $\|V+Y\|=1$ and $\langle U+X, V+Y \rangle = 0$. Write

$$U = \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} \alpha_{ij}, \quad V = \sum_{i < j} a'_{ij} \alpha_{ij}, \quad X = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \sigma_{i,n+1}, \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^n b'_i \sigma_{i,n+1}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i < j} |a_{ij}|^2, \sum_{i < j} |a'_{ij}|^2, \sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^2, \sum_{i=1}^n |b'_i|^2 \leq 1. \quad (2.29)$$

By (1.18) and (2.22)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle R(U, V)V, U \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| \left[\sum_{i < j} a_{ij} \alpha_{ij}, \sum_{k < l} a'_{kl} \alpha_{kl} \right] \right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left\| \sum_{i < j} \left(\sum_{k \neq i, j} a_{ik} a'_{kj} - a'_{ik} a_{kj} \right) \alpha_{ij} \right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i < j} \left(\sum_{k \neq i, j} a_{ik} a'_{kj} - a'_{ik} a_{kj} \right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{8}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (1.20), (1.15), (1.14) and (2.23)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle R(U, Y)Y, U \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| \left[\sum_{i < j} a_{ij} \alpha_{ij}, \sum_k b'_k \sigma_{k, n+1} \right] \right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left\| \sum_k \left(\sum_i a_{ki} b'_i \right) \sigma_{k, n+1} \right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \sum_k \left(\sum_i a_{ki} b'_i \right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} n,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle R(X, Y)V, U \rangle \leq 6 \cdot \frac{n}{4},$$

and

$$\langle R(X, V)Y, U \rangle \leq 4 \cdot \frac{n}{4}.$$

□

3. H. C. WANG'S RESULT

A classical theorem due to Kazhdan-Margulis [17] states that every semisimple Lie group without compact factor has a neighborhood U of the identity e such that, given any discrete subgroup Γ of G , there exists $g \in G$ with the property that $g\Gamma g^{-1} \cap U = \{e\}$. H. C. Wang [26] undertook a quantitative study of the neighborhood U .

Let G be a semisimple Lie group, $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra, and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ a Cartan decomposition. For each endomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, let $N(f) = \sup\{\|f(X)\| : X \in \mathfrak{g}, \|X\| = 1\}$. Define $C_1 = \sup\{N(\text{ad } X) : X \in \mathfrak{p}, \|X\| = 1\}$, $C_2 = \sup\{N(\text{ad } X) : X \in \mathfrak{k}, \|X\| = 1\}$. The number R_G is the least positive zero of the real-valued function

$$F(t) = \exp C_1 t - 1 + 2 \sin C_2 t - C_1 t / (\exp C_1 t - 1).$$

Let ρ be the distance function on G induced by the canonical metric. For any Lie group, a theorem of Zassenhaus [27] guarantees the existence of a neighborhood U of the identity such that the subgroup generated by any subset of U is either non-discrete or nilpotent. The following theorem (Theorem 3.2 in [26]) demonstrates the role of R_G in the construction of an explicit Zassenhaus neighborhood for a semisimple Lie group.

Theorem 3.1 (Wang). *Let G be a semisimple Lie group. Let $e \in G$ denote the identity. Then for any discrete subgroup Γ of G , the set*

$$\Theta = \{g \in \Gamma : \rho(e, g) \leq R_G\}$$

generates a nilpotent group.

Now, let $\mathfrak{g}_\pi$ be the totality of elements X in $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the imaginary parts of all the eigenvalues of $\text{ad } X$ lie in the open interval $(-\pi, \pi)$ and let $G_\pi = \{\exp X : X \in \mathfrak{g}_\pi\}$. In an earlier paper [25], Wang had proved that the restriction of the exponential map to $\mathfrak{g}_\pi$ is injective. Therefore the following proposition (Proposition 5.1 in [26]), derived from Theorem 3.1, establishes the fact that R_G is less than the injectivity radius of G .

Proposition 3.2 (Wang). *Let G be a semisimple Lie group. Then the closed ball*

$$B = \{x \in G : \rho(e, x) \leq R_G\}$$

is contained in G_π .

We now give Wang's quantitative version of the theorem of Kazhdan-Margulis (Theorem 5.2 in [26]). It shows that the volume of the fundamental domain of Γ is larger than the volume of a ρ -ball with radius $R_G/2$.

Theorem 3.3 (Wang). *Let G be a semisimple Lie group without compact factor and $B = \{x \in G : \rho(e, x) \leq R_G\}$. Then for any discrete subgroup Γ of G , there exists $g \in G$ such that $B \cap g\Gamma g^{-1} = \{e\}$.*

The values of R_G important for this paper are

$$\begin{aligned} R_G &= 277\sqrt{2}/1000 \text{ when } G = SO_o(3, 1) \\ R_G &= 228\sqrt{2(n-1)}/1000 \text{ when } G = SO_o(n, 1) \text{ for } n \geq 4. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

4. THE VOLUME OF HYPERBOLIC n -ORBIFOLDS

A hyperbolic n -orbifold $Q = \mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma$ is the quotient of hyperbolic n -space by a discrete group of orientation-preserving isometries. The volume of Q is defined to be the volume of the fundamental domain of Γ in $\mathbb{H}^n$. In this section, we establish key facts about volume relating to Riemannian submersions and sectional curvature. We then produce a lower bound for the volume a hyperbolic orbifold of dimension greater than or equal to four.

Let (M, g) and (N, h) be Riemannian manifolds and $q : M \rightarrow N$ a surjective submersion. For each point $x \in M$ the tangent space $T_x M$ decomposes into the orthogonal direct sum

$$T_x M = (\text{Ker } dq)_x^\perp \oplus (\text{Ker } dq)_x.$$

The map q is said to be a *Riemannian submersion* if

$$g(X, Y) = h(dqX, dqY) \text{ whenever } X, Y \in (\text{Ker } dq)_x^\perp \text{ for some } x \in M.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $K \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{q} N$ denote a fiber bundle where q is a Riemannian submersion and K is a compact and totally geodesic submanifold of M . Then for any subset $Z \subset N$,*

$$\text{Vol}[q^{-1}(Z)] = \text{Vol}[Z] \cdot \text{Vol}[K]$$

Proof. Since K is totally geodesic, the fibers of q are isometric to each other. We have

$$d\text{Vol}_M = d\text{Vol}_N \cdot d\text{Vol}_K.$$

$$\text{Thus } \text{Vol}[q^{-1}(Z)] = \int_{q^{-1}(Z)} d\text{Vol}_M = \int_{q^{-1}(Z)} d\text{Vol}_K d\text{Vol}_N = \text{Vol}(K) \cdot \text{Vol}(Z). \quad \square$$

Now fix a point $p \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and let $\pi : SO_o(n, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ be defined by

$$\pi(s) = s(p) \quad \forall \quad s \in SO_o(n, 1).$$

The map π is a Riemannian submersion. The fiber over p , the set of orientation-preserving isometries of $\mathbb{H}^n$ that fix p , is an embedded copy of $SO(n)$. This also gives a Riemannian submersion

$$SO(n) \rightarrow SO_o(n, 1)/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma = Q$$

with totally geodesic fibres on the smooth points of Q . Hence,

$$\text{Vol}[Q] = \text{Vol}[\pi^{-1}(Q)] / \text{Vol}[SO(n)] = \text{Vol}[SO_o(n, 1)/\Gamma] / \text{Vol}[SO(n)]. \quad (4.31)$$

In [12, Page 399], the volumes of the classical compact groups are given explicitly. For the special orthogonal group, the volume with respect to the metric $\tilde{g}_0$ is given by

$$\text{Vol}[SO(n)] = \frac{2^{\lfloor \frac{n^2+2n-2}{4} \rfloor} \pi^{\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor}}{(n-2)!(n-4)! \cdots 1}. \quad (4.32)$$

Therefore we are left to consider $\text{Vol}[SO_o(n, 1)/\Gamma]$.

Denote by $V(d, k, r)$ the volume of a ball of radius r in the complete simply connected Riemannian manifold of dimension d with constant curvature k . A proof of the following comparison theorem can be found in [10, Theorem 3.101].

Theorem 4.2 (Gunther). *Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension d . For $m \in M$, let $B_m(r)$ be a ball which does not meet the cut-locus of m .*

If the sectional curvatures of M are bounded above by a constant b , then

$$\text{Vol}[B_m(r)] \geq V(d, b, r).$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of $SO_o(n, 1)$. Then*

$$\text{Vol}[SO_o(n, 1)/\Gamma] \geq V(d_0, k_0, r_0)$$

where $d_0 = \frac{n^2 + n}{2}$, $k_0 = \frac{n^2 + 43n}{8}$ and $r_0 = .114\sqrt{2(n-1)}$.

Proof. From Definition 2.1, the dimension of $SO_o(n, 1)$ is $(n^2 + n)/2$. By Proposition 2.7 the sectional curvatures of $SO_o(n, 1)$ are bounded above by $(n^2 + 43n)/8$.

The Proposition then follows from Proposition 3.2, Theorems 3.3, 4.2 and (3.30). $\square$

We conclude with an explicit version of our main theorem. Combining Proposition 4.3 with (4.32) gives a formula for the lower bound of a hyperbolic orbifold dependent only on dimension.

Theorem 4.4. *Let Q be a hyperbolic n -orbifold. Then the volume of Q is bounded below by*

$$2^{\lfloor \frac{2n^2+n+6}{4} \rfloor} \pi^{\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor} \left(\frac{1}{n^2 + 43n} \right)^{\lfloor \frac{n^2+n}{4} \rfloor} \frac{(n-2)!(n-4)! \cdots 1}{\Gamma(\frac{n^2+n}{4})} \int_0^{\min[0.057\sqrt{n^3+42n^2-43n}, \pi]} \sin^{\frac{n^2+n-2}{2}} \rho \, d\rho.$$

Proof. As an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.3 and (4.31), we have

$$\text{Vol}[Q] \geq \frac{V(d_0, k_0, r_0)}{\text{Vol}[SO(n)]}$$

For a given $k > 0$, the complete simply connected Riemannian manifold with constant curvature k is the sphere of radius $k^{-1/2}$. By explicit computation we have

$$V(d, k, r) = \frac{2(\pi/k)^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^{\min[rk^{1/2}, \pi]} \sin^{d-1} \rho \, d\rho.$$

Direct substitution and (4.32) completes the proof. $\square$

5. VOLUME BOUNDS

In this section, we list several known results on the volume of hyperbolic n -orbifolds. For ease of comparison, we approximate to two significant digits.

5.1. Cusped Hyperbolic Orbifolds. The smallest cusped hyperbolic 2-orbifold has volume 5.23×10^{-1} [23]. The corresponding bound is 7.22×10^{-2} in dimension three [20] and 6.85×10^{-3} in dimension four [14]. Analogous results for all dimensions less than ten can be found in [13].

5.2. Hyperbolic Manifolds. That the smallest hyperbolic 2-manifold has area 4π is a classical result. More recently, it was shown in [9] that the Weeks manifold, with volume .94, is the hyperbolic 3-manifold of minimum volume. Both are arithmetically defined [7].

An explicit lower bound for the radius of a ball that can be embedded in every hyperbolic n -manifold was given in [18]. An error in that paper was later corrected in [8]. Using the corrected radius

$$\frac{0.0025}{17^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}$$

one can obtain a lower bound for the volume of a hyperbolic n -manifold. In dimension three the bound is 1.33×10^{-11} .

5.3. Arithmetic Orbifolds. As mentioned in the introduction, arithmetic hyperbolic n -orbifolds are conjectured to be extremal in terms of volume. This has been shown to be the case in dimensions two and three. The minimal volume arithmetic n -orbifolds were identified for all dimensions greater than or equal to four in [2], [3], [4]. The value for $n = 2r, r$ even is given by

$$\omega_c(n) = \frac{4 \cdot 5^{r^2+r/2} \cdot (2\pi)^r}{(2r-1)!!} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(2i-1)!^2}{(2\pi)^{4i}} \zeta_{k_0}(2i).$$

where ζ_{k_0} represents the Dedekind zeta function of the number field $k_0 = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$.

Hence, for dimension four ($r = 2$) we have $\omega_c(4) = 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$. The cited papers contain similar formulas for $n = 2r, r$ odd and $n = 2r - 1$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author is grateful to Francis Bonahon, Dick Canary and Daryl Cooper for their support and useful conversations. This project was initiated during the first author's fellowship at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in the Fall of 2007.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ilesanmi Adeboye. Lower bounds for the volume of hyperbolic n -orbifolds. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 237(1):1–19, 2008.
- [2] Mikhail Belolipetsky. On volumes of arithmetic quotients of $SO(1, n)$. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di Scienze. Serie V*, 3(4):749–770, 2004.
- [3] Mikhail Belolipetsky. Addendum to: “On volumes of arithmetic quotients of $SO(1, n)$ ” [Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **3** (2004), no. 4, 749–770; mr2124587]. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 6(2):263–268, 2007.
- [4] Mikhail Belolipetsky and Vincent Emery. On volumes of arithmetic quotients of $PO(n, 1)$, n odd. arXiv:1001.4670v1.
- [5] Jeff Cheeger and David Ebin. *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2008.
- [6] Ted Chinburg and Eduardo Friedman. The smallest arithmetic hyperbolic three-orbifold. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 86(3):507–527, 1986.
- [7] Ted Chinburg, Eduardo Friedman, Kerry Jones, and Alan Reid. The arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifold of smallest volume. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di Scienze. Serie IV*, 30(1):1–40, 2001.
- [8] Shmuel Friedland and Sa’ar Hersonsky. Jørgensen’s inequality for discrete groups in normed algebras. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 69(3):593–614, 1993.
- [9] David Gabai, Robert Meyerhoff, and Peter Milley. Minimum volume cusped hyperbolic three-manifolds. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 22:1157–1215, 2009.
- [10] S. Gallot, D. Hulin, and J. Lafontaine. *Riemannian Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, second edition, 1990.
- [11] F. Gehring and G. Martin. Minimal co-volume hyperbolic lattices. i. the spherical points of a kleinian group. *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series*, 170(1):123–161, 2009.
- [12] Robert Gilmore. *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Some of Their Applications*. John Wiley & Sons, 1974.
- [13] Thierry Hild. The cusped hyperbolic orbifolds of minimal volume in dimensions less than ten. *Journal of Algebra*, 313(1):208–222, 2007.

- [14] Thierry Hild and Ruth Kellerhals. The fcc lattice and the cusped hyperbolic 4-orbifold of minimal volume. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society. Second Series*, 75(3):677–689, 2007.
- [15] Adolf Hurwitz. Über algebraische gebilde mit eindeutigen transformationen in sich. *Mathematische Annalen*, 41:403–442, 1893.
- [16] Troels Jørgensen. On discrete groups of Möbius transformations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 98(3):739–749, 1976.
- [17] D. A. Každan and G. A. Margulis. A proof of Selberg’s Conjecture. *Mathematics of the U.S.S.R. – Sbornik*, 4(1):147–152, 1968.
- [18] Gaven Martin. Balls in hyperbolic manifolds. *The Journal of the London Mathematical Society. Second Series*, 40(2):257–264, 1989.
- [19] M.V. Meshcheryakov. Estimates for sectional curvatures of Riemannian symmetric spaces. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 51(1):152–154, 1996.
- [20] Robert Meyerhoff. A lower bound for the volume of hyperbolic 3-orbifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 57(1):185–203, 1988.
- [21] G. D. Mostow. Quasi-conformal mappings in n -space and the rigidity of hyperbolic space forms. *Publ. I.H.E.S.*, (34):53–104, 1968.
- [22] Gopal Prasad. Strong rigidity of $\mathbf{Q}$ -rank 1 lattices. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 21:255–286, 1973.
- [23] Carl Ludwig Siegel. Some remarks on discontinuous groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 46:708–718, 1945.
- [24] William Thurston. The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds. Princeton University preprint, 1978.
- [25] Hsien-Chung Wang. On a maximality property of discrete subgroups with fundamental domain of finite measure. *Amer. J. Math.*, 89:124–132, 1967.
- [26] Hsien-Chung Wang. Discrete nilpotent subgroups of Lie groups. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 3:481–492, 1969.
- [27] H. Zassenhaus. Beweis eines Satzes über diskrete gruppen. *Adh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 12:289–312, 1938.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106
E-mail address: adeboye@math.ucsb.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106
E-mail address: wei@math.ucsb.edu